BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE

Application No. 79/2015 (WZ)

Mrs. Asha Narredu & Anr. Vs. Pune Municipal Corporation & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.R. KINGAONKAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. AJAY A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant/ Appellant : Ms. F.M. Mesquita, Adv. Respondent No. 3 : Mr. Rahul Andhale, Adv. Respondent No. 4 : Mr. Vilas Mahajan, Adv. h/f

Mr. Ajay Gadegaonkar, Adv.

Issue Notice to the R.O. of MPCB i.e. Respondent No. 2

	Wir. Ajay Gadegaorikar, Adv.
Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 2 21 st September,	Heard Learned Advocate for the Applicant.
2015	No Reply affidavit is filed by Respondent No. 1 & 2. The
Order No. 4	Respondent No. 1 & 2 had appeared on previous date, so also
	Reply affidavit is not filed by Respondent No. 4 but Advocate for
AU	Respondent No. 4 seeks time to file it. He seeks short date and
	would submit that he will file the Reply affidavit alongwith
	relevant document. Adv. F.M. Mesquita appearing for the
2	
A B	Applicant, has strong objection to the request on the ground that
113	since about 2 (two) months, the Respondent No. 4, which is
51	main contesting party has not filed Reply affidavit and this will
	cause protraction of the litigation. She submits that during
	pendency of the Application, more mobile towers are being
7	indiscriminately installed in the residential area and, therefore,
	there is urgency to consider the Application on merits.
	Reply affidavit is filed by Respondent No. 5, i.e.
	Department of Telecommunication and Respondent No. 3
	(CPCB).
	Respondent No. 4 undertakes to file Reply affidavit within
	period of 3 (three) days and also states that a copy thereof will
	be furnished to the Adv. F.M. Mesquita by email before filing
	such affidavit in the Registry.

